

## OPPOSITION Response to SB 241

**Prohibiting those listed on the state sex offender database from public school activities and events.**

**January 14, 2026**

Senate Judiciary Committees:

West Virginians for Rational Sexual Offence Laws (WVRSOL) is a West Virginia non-profit association and an affiliate of the National Association for Rational Sexual Offence Laws (NARSOL), which advocates for society's segment that is adversely affected by the sex offender registry. We strive to assist families affected by the registry, explore ways to enhance and maintain public safety, recommend prudent use of state funding in this area, and work to ensure that proposed legislation is constitutional.

WVRSOL **opposes** SB 241 because it is unconstitutional in effect.

### **SB 241 is unconstitutional.**

1. The new section §16-11A-1 makes it a felony "to attend any public-school function or attend or participate in public school or athletic events in any capacity, regardless of participation by offender's own children" for those required to register on the WV "sex offender registry."
  - a. It is restrictive and inclusive to registrants on the "sex offender registry" only, while allowing all other "Central Abuse Registry" registrants with misdemeanor or felony offenses constituting child abuse or neglect, free to attend school events, as well as all other persons with past convictions for murder, assault, etc.
  - b. It is broad in its language, prohibiting a total ban for said registrants without any exceptions, such as parent-teacher conferences, expulsion hearings, and reviews.
  - c. It is not based on any current disruptive behavior but rather past behavior/convictions that, in many cases, are decades old.
  - d. As written, it violates the 14th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. Under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Plaintiff has a right to due process in any proceedings initiated by a governmental authority. (Br. in Supp. 4, ECF No. 7) In this case, no due process rights are afforded to registrants before they are banned from school property.
    - i. In *Cole v. Montague Bd. of Educ.*, 145 Fed.Appx. 760, 762-63 (3d Cir. 2005) (citing *Lovern*, 190 F.3d at 648), the court "held that parent's claim that prohibiting him from entering school property without a hearing violated due process."
    - ii. *McNett v. Jefferson-Morgan Sch. Dist.*, 2:21-cv-01064-RJC, 12 (W.D. Pa. Nov. 23, 2021) established that plaintiffs have a due process claim on the basis that a school board defendant had violated their due process rights by banning the parents from a public school without a hearing and by refusing to accept a petition for a hearing. Again, no due process will be incorporated if SB 241 is passed as written.
2. Restricting persons from school property.
  - a. "School officials have the authority to control students and school personnel on school property, and also have the authority and responsibility for assuring that parents and third parties conduct themselves appropriately while on school property." *Lovern v. Edwards*, 190 F.3d 648, 655 (4th Cir. 1999) (citing *Carey v. Brown*, 447 U.S. 455, 470-71 (1980); *Goss v. Lopez*, 419 U.S. 565, 582-83 (1975)).
  - b. "School officials are well within constitutional bounds in limiting access to school property where it is necessary to maintain tranquility." *Cunningham v. Lenape Reg'l High Dist. Bd. of Educ.*, 492 F.Supp.2d 439, 448-49 (D.N.J. 2007).

---

WVRSOL does not in any way condone sexual activity between adults and children, nor does it condone any sexual activity that would break the laws of any state. We do not advocate lowering the age of consent, and we have no affiliation with any group that does condone such activities.

3. Requiring parent-teacher conferences to be off-school property
  - a. It is restrictive and inclusive to registrants on the "sex offender registry" only, while allowing all other "Central Abuse Registry" registrants with misdemeanor or felony offenses constituting child abuse or neglect, free to have parent-teacher conferences on school property.
  - b. It is overbroad and includes all "sex offender registry" registrants regardless of whether said registrant has a conviction for a minor-related offense, so why would they need to be restricted from school property?
  - c. It's unnecessarily burdensome and inconvenient for teachers.
4. Considering the above, it's clear that SB 241, written as a blanket ban without justification, reasoning, and, most notably, due process, is or will be found unconstitutional if passed.

WVRSOL supports legislation that reduces abuse and sexual offenses, helps children and families, and improves public safety. Unfortunately, SB 241 fails to do any of these things. Therefore, we **oppose** SB 241 and respectfully urge the Senate, its members, and the Senate Judiciary Committee to reject it and, if necessary, amend it to address the abovementioned issues.

Sincerely,

*Philip W. Kaso*

Philip W. Kaso, Executive Director

[West Virginia for Rational Sex Offense Laws \(WVRSOL\)](http://West Virginia for Rational Sex Offense Laws (WVRSOL)) | 304-760-9030 | [wvrsol@gmail.com](mailto:wvrsol@gmail.com)



## Works Cited

“Br. in Supp. 4, ECF No. 7. “ *McNett v. Jefferson-Morgan Sch. Dist.*, 2:21-cv-01064-RJC, 12 (W.D. Pa. Nov. 23, 2021)

“*Cunningham v. Lenape Reg'l High Dist. Bd. of Educ.*, [492 F.Supp.2d 439, 448-49](#) (D.N.J. 2007)” *McNett v. Jefferson-Morgan Sch. Dist.*, 2:21-cv-01064-RJC, 10 (W.D. Pa. Nov. 23, 2021)

“*Goss v. Lopez*, [419 U.S. 565, 582-83](#) (1975)” *McNett v. Jefferson-Morgan Sch. Dist.*, 2:21-cv-01064-RJC, 10 (W.D. Pa. Nov. 23, 2021)

“*Lovern v. Edwards*, [190 F.3d 648, 655](#) (4th Cir. 1999) (citing *Carey v. Brown*, [447 U.S. 455, 470-71](#) (1980)” *McNett v. Jefferson-Morgan Sch. Dist.*, 2:21-cv-01064-RJC, 10 (W.D. Pa. Nov. 23, 2021)

*McNett v. Jefferson-Morgan Sch. Dist.*, 2:21-cv-01064-RJC, 12 (W.D. Pa. Nov. 23, 2021)